INTRODUCTION

Technologists love to coin terms and create handy acronyms. For those attempting to make wise planning and purchasing decisions for education technology the terminology can become more of a barrier than an asset. The words “open”, “source”, “standards”, and “interoperability” and combinations of these terms represent specific ideas cherished by various organizations or communities. To an outsider the terms may appear to have overlapping meaning and functionality; yet to each sponsor they are as different as night and day. As a technology planner, you want to make sure that the systems and software that you purchase today fulfill your immediate requirements and are compatible with your institutional environment now and in the future. Open standards represents a bright hope to tame the complexity of school technology and make applications work together efficiently and powerfully. This paper begins to sort out the emerging standards, their respective sponsors, and terminology associated with each standard. It is our goal that this information will help you investigate and answer some initial questions regarding these standards and how they are relevant to the infrastructure decisions you have to make.

“OPEN SOURCE” VERSUS “PROPRIETARY”: IMPLICATIONS FOR SCHOOLS

The fast pace of change in the area of educational technology has given nearly everyone the “bleeding edge” experience of adopting software that was incompatible with existing programs, failed to function as planned, or became obsolete overnight. Much of this was due to the proliferation of proprietary programs and operating systems that appeared to deliberately create barriers to the exchange of information between systems and applications. To be fair, software developers are pressed between contradictory requirements. They must be able to make a profit while taking advantage of the latest hardware, providing seamless data exchange between suites of applications, maintaining backward and forward compatibility, and managing releases that are timely, feature-significant and bug-free. Major software companies have traditionally considered their un-compiled “source code” top secret and highly confidential and, therefore, have had to cover all the expenses of development, testing and debugging via maintenance fees from customers and through internal funding. “Proprietary” software development encourages very efficient, tightly
controlled, and high quality products that work optimally within a single vendor’s suite. And yet, even with these controls, there can still be internal compatibility problems.

The “open source” software movement evolved as a philosophical and practical rebellion to the closed architecture of proprietary software systems. Open source software is constructed from applications and source code libraries, which are available at no charge and are continually being tested, expanded, and improved by a diverse community of volunteer and industry supported programmers. Open source software is often distributed under an agreement such as the GNU Public License (GPL) to keep development in the public domain. (GNU stands for “GNUs not Unix, a project of the Free Software Foundation.”) Open source developers pool their resources and share underlying technologies that they would otherwise have to reinvent individually. Ideally, this drives the products to differentiate themselves in the market by superior support and integration.

The popularity of the Linux operating system demonstrates how ready the market is for open source software. Although Linux is freely available in many different flavors, many developers and users still purchase it from companies such as RedHat or Novell in order to get full product support and regular updates. Other open source projects such as MySQL, Perl, and PHP have equally devoted groups of developers.

School districts usually do not have the technical depth or budget to invent their own applications and systems internally. Instead, they buy pre-packaged solutions from vendors with service and maintenance agreements. The underlying technology, whether it is open or closed, is often transparent to the technology buyer. School technology planners always have to consider Total Cost of Ownership (TCO) when choosing any software. An initial low purchase cost for a system can be offset easily by higher installation, training, maintenance, and support costs. Predicting maintenance costs of a new software implementation is very difficult regardless of whether the software is open source or proprietary. Many schools that have ventured into Linux computing report a steep learning curve, but eventual satisfaction with the reliability and inherent cost savings. Some schools may find it cost-effective to use open source software, but to pay for professional support and systems integration. This also protects schools from being crippled by the loss of key IS staff who know the system.

OPEN SOURCE SOFTWARE IN SCHOOLS

The most visible open source application in K-12 schools is Linux. Servers using this free or low-cost operating system are appearing on school networks. Sometimes dedicated Linux servers are employed to host particular applications; while Linux is the backbone of the entire network in some school districts. An assortment of applications is built on the Linux platform including Web servers, server scripting languages, databases (Apache, PHP, and MySQL), mail servers (Sendmail, Horde), firewalls, cache servers (Squid), and network management utilities. In addition to the direct savings for open source over commercial software, there is an implicit savings in hardware. Linux can run on older, less powerful servers than Windows. Consequently, schools are able to set up multiple Linux servers on old machines to

“Schools may find it cost-effective to use Open Source software, but to pay for professional support and systems integration.”
accomplish the same goals that they could meet with fewer, high-power and more expensive, newer servers. In some instances, schools run Linux machines in a computer lab for teaching programming while the rest of the school uses Windows or MacOS.

Linux Terminal Server allows a lab full of computers to run on thin clients from a central server. This greatly reduces the amount of time spent on installing and maintaining software on student workstations. Thin clients place a smaller processing load on user workstations thereby allowing schools to make use of a variety of older, less powerful computers with a minimum of maintenance headaches.

Hundreds of open source classroom application programs are available free of charge. This list includes desktop applications such as word processing, spreadsheets, graphics, and presentation programs. There are also enterprise level administrative programs for enrollment, managing test scores, and hosting e-learning. Adopting open source applications could save a school thousands of dollars. Some schools prefer to use popular commercial applications, because it better prepares students for the software they will likely encounter in their workplace. Schoolforge provides links and reviews of the most popular programs.

Some open source programs support traditional classrooms. MyEDesk is a digital drop box and virtual drive for posting and distributing course documents to students, parents, and teachers.

UTIPs is a test item pool server with a library of 10,000 test questions. Learning Content Management Systems (LCMS) provide all the tools to develop and publish electronic courses. LLRN is a course and research collaboration tool that has become popular in higher education. It is built on the OpenACS platform. Open source LCMS’s for education are becoming widely available. Often these are associated with courses and content that is also freely available. MyClassroom offered by Vision-learning.org provides a library of learning modules and also a course authoring system. OpenCourse is a Web-based online course content management system that focuses on sharing of Reuseable Learning Objects (RLOs). Interact is an online learning and collaboration platform developed by the Christchurch College of Education, New Zealand. It is a free alternative to commercial programs such as WebCT and Blackboard. Atutor is another full-featured LCMS from Canada. Moodle is a complete online course management system that offers most of the features that commercial learning systems offer such as assignments, forums, chats, online journaling, and quizzes.

There is a rapidly growing system of content libraries supported by state, federal, and private organizations. Examples include The National Science Digital Library (NSDL) sponsored by the NSF, the Digital Library for Earth Science Education (DLESE), and the Eisenhower National Clearinghouse Digital Library (ENCDL). These libraries serve as portals to large collections of free text, photos, and data.

CASE STUDY: PLANO ISD

Plano ISD needed to replace its aging information systems for student, HR, and finance. Commercial software solutions were inefficient to scale and the customizations required to meet local, state, and federal reporting were very expensive. Open standards combined with free open source libraries made it possible for Plano to build their own customized information system. The Java based system enables the flow of information stored in a variety of databases with applications such as textbook inventory systems, master schedules and parent self-pay portals. Plano ISD discovered that custom information systems development is cost effective, scalable, and the results are perfectly tuned to the needs of its users.
The open source movement has stimulated developers to think about how to coordinate their efforts for the greatest good. Sharing costs for development of shared source libraries or sharing course content or reusable learning objects makes good business sense. There is a myriad of options for both open source and proprietary software for schools. Whatever the source, software systems have to be smoothly integrated with each other and with existing systems. There is a need to make it easier to exchange data between applications from different vendors. The idea of developing standards for data exchange has profound implications for educational technology in schools.

**WHEN IS COMPATIBILITY BETWEEN APPLICATIONS CRITICAL?**

A systems integrator makes sure that all the software applications, networks and hardware work together to the degree they need to. Some applications are completely stand-alone and only need to be able to run on the given network. For example, an electronic book delivered from a CD-ROM has little need to exchange data with other applications. Productivity applications for graphics, word processing, or spreadsheets can work independently, but they often need to read and write a wide range of file formats to work with other applications from other vendors. Database-enabled programs for student records, school purchasing or instructional management have a much greater need to exchange data from various component applications. It is far more efficient to open the classroom electronic grade book and have student names and other pertinent data filled in from the registrar’s office, than to manually load a file from a floppy disc or re-enter this data. This is the essence of “interoperability”, the ability of applications to exchange data with each other to create a powerful, distributed system.

**OPEN STANDARDS FOR SCHOOL SOFTWARE**

Just as open source software provides code modules that follow certain rules for input and output, open standards applications are built with protocols for exchanging information that are agreed upon in advance by a community of developers. Standards often emerge from the *de facto* practices followed by groups of users. For example, the mathematics community developed their own markup language MathML for representing their complex formulas on Web pages. Eventually, informal practices become formal open standards that are codified, administered, and periodically updated by a controlling organization. The Standards Interoperability Framework (SIF) was designed for the K-12 instructional and administration area. IMS standards were designed for the needs of higher education and the Sharable Courseware Object Reference Model (SCORM) was developed with the needs of the industrial training and certification market in mind. Several of these standards make use of the course standards defined by IEEE and the Dublin Core. They are considered “Open” standards, because the specifications developed for data tagging and exchange is publicly available. Standards-compliant applications are able to exchange specific types of information where there is a useful overlap. Accessing existing data can save the time and expense of re-entering data, reduce incorrect or inconsistent (dirty) data, and eliminate wasteful redundancy. Standards-compliant applications allow educators to combine data from various sources and analyze trends to provide optimal learning for all students.

**OPEN STANDARDS SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE**

The Open Database Connectivity (ODBC) standard allows applications to access data from a variety of data sources such as MS-SQL, MySQL, Oracle or Excel. It is a cross-platform API, designed to work not only on different operating systems with
Different programming languages, but also allows the same program to access different data sources either locally or across a network. The general database Structured Query Language can be used with a majority of relational databases. Microsoft distributes its own ODBC driver specifications under the Windows OS. Other manufacturers are responsible for their own ODBC drivers.

An application is set up with a specific ODBC data source including a username and password. Each application that opens an ODBC data source expects to find tables with pre-specified yet arbitrary field names and data contents. These data names and formats can be unique for each vendor and are not automatically interoperable. Open standards applications not only have specific protocols for getting connected, they should have extensive pre-defined “vocabularies” that dictate what different types of information should be called.

Open standards-compliant applications may talk to each other directly or by way of a message broker like the Zone Integration Server (ZIS) defined in the SIF specifications. Direct communication between two applications entails a computer recognizing which application owns or has rights to make changes to which data elements and which applications have permission to request which data elements. Following this, applications can begin to exchange the various kinds of information that is relevant to each. Direct Communication schema tends to be more efficient and scalable. On the other hand, SIF-certified applications operate an “Agent”, which is a daemon or background routine that runs continually, ready to receive and send messages to the Zone Integration Server. The ZIS routes and transfers data from applications provided by dozens of different vendors without going through the field mapping of setting up a communication link with each.

Open standards support the exchange of data between multiple applications. For example, an attendance program stores the student record for each day in a database. Each morning the automated telephone message system requests a list of absent students. The attendance program authenticates the request and sends back an XML stream with the names of tardy students. The telephone system then calls the parents of the absent students. The telephone system, attendance system, and student information system could theoretically come from different vendors.

In a more sophisticated application, the same attendance data sets could be combined with other achievement data to reveal patterns that were formerly hidden. Open standards make the entire web of school information available to inform instructional improvement.

**NITTY GRITTY XML—IT’S IN THE TAGS**

Most of the open standards are built on an underlying format of Extensible Markup Language (XML). A relative of HTML, XML allows an unlimited number of specialized tags to surround and identify an element of information. The HTML tags in “<b>Important News</b>” tell any Web browser that the words “Important News” should be displayed in bold font. The XML tags “<AttendanceType>Absent</AttendanceType>” tell a student information system that the information within the tags is the attendance status for a given student on a given day. The standards can further specify acceptable values for that data, such as the terms Absent, Tardy, EarlyDeparture, Partial, Present, or Other. Each standards community creates tags and data values that are relevant for its environment. Most of these standards
share common core standards such as the “Dublin Core” which specifies how to tag universal data elements such as creator, date, and language. XML is the emerging lingua franca for data exchange between applications and has not typically been the native format for storing the data within an application.

WHICH STANDARDS SHOULD CONCERN YOU?
Selecting standards-compliant software could be extremely important to your school now and in the future. As your information system grows in complexity, the management overhead of supporting many disparate applications may become staggering. Which Open Standard is going to be most important for your school? Which one is supported today by vendors that are relevant to your situation? Which one is likely to be most relevant in the future? Will one standard win the standards race and subsume the others? The answer to these questions comes from understanding your needs, doing your research, and working with vendors who are able to provide a total open solution.

CONCLUSION
Open source and open standards encourage schools, end users, and industry leaders to be directly involved in creating a consensus about what features and conventions should be used in their particular environment. Customers can pick one or more standards-compliant applications and know that they will all work together without a lot of customization. Since the applications are technically interchangeable the vendors must find other ways to differentiate themselves from their competition including providing the best product and paying close attention to customer satisfaction. Customers can mix and match proprietary software with open source components or satellite applications. The ability to share data between applications eliminates redundant data entry and increases security and privacy, because sensitive files can be concentrated in a few, well-protected data warehouses (databases). Open standards provide a basis for the future convergence of school computing environments. While each institution is in a different stage of technology integration, it is prudent to look down the road to see how today’s purchases can participate in tomorrow’s connected world. Standardized data exchange is critical for achieving the goal of creating efficient, effective use of data and school IT resources, utilizing data where it’s needed and providing information that ultimately supports student learning.
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